arXiv:1701.05569v2 [math-ph] 13 Mar 2017

ON SCALING LIMITS IN EUCLIDEAN QUANTUM FIELD THEORY

SVETOSLAV ZAHARIEV

LaGuardia Community College of The City University of New York,
MEC Department, 31-10 Thomson Ave.
Long Island City, NY 11101, U.S.A.
szahariev@lagcc.cuny.edu

Abstract. We present a general scaling limit construction of probability measures obeying the Glimm-Jaffe axioms of Euclidean quantum field theory in arbitrary space-time dimension. In particular, we obtain measures that may be interpreted as corresponding to scalar quantum fields with arbitrary continuous self-interaction. It remains however an open problem whether this general construction contains non-Gaussian measures.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Preliminaries 3
2.1. Characteristic functionals 3
2.2. Constructing measures from functionals 4
2.3. Equicontinuity and analyticity 4
3. Finite volume measures 5
3.1. Isometry invariant mollifiers 5
3.2. Isometry invariant measures 5
4. Reflection positivity 8
5. The infinite volume limit 10
5.1. Conformal maps, Laplacians, and the stereographic projection 10
5.2. The scaling limit 11
5.3. Euclidean invariance 13
5.4. Verification of the Glimm-Jaffe axioms 16
References 18

1. Introduction

Establishing the existence of interacting field models satisfying the Wightman axioms [14] of relativistic quantum field theory (QFT) in four dimensional space-time has remained an important open problem in mathematical physics since the 1960s. Many models in two and three space-time dimensions [13] have been constructed so far employing the framework of Euclidean QFT in which Minkowski space is replaced with Euclidean space. In the mid 1970s Osterwalder and Schrader [11] discovered a set of axioms formulated on Euclidean space that is equivalent to the axioms of Wightman.

In this paper we present a general construction of probability measures satisfying the Glimm-Jaffe axioms of Euclidean QFT as stated in [7, Chapter 6] (except possibly ergodicity) in arbitrary space-time dimension. More explicitly, we obtain Euclidean invariant reflection-positive measures on the space of distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ possessing characteristic functionals that satisfy appropriate analyticity and regularity conditions.

Our method may be summarized as follows. Given a sequence of densities satisfying certain growth conditions, we construct a sequence of measures on the space of distributions on the standard d-dimensional sphere $\mathbb{S}^d$. We observe that one can obtain such a sequence of densities from an arbitrary real continuous function (representing a self-interaction) and an appropriate sequence of coupling constants. We then transfer these measures to $\mathbb{R}^d$ via a scaling procedure analogous to that developed in [12, Section 3] in the context of algebraic Euclidean QFT, and show that the transferred sequence contains a weakly convergent subsequence. Heuristically, this scaling limit may be envisaged as a process in which the radius of the sphere tends to infinity, the subgroup of the isometry group of $\mathbb{S}^d$ preserving a given point becomes the rotation group of $\mathbb{R}^d$, and the remaining rotations of $\mathbb{S}^d$ are identified with translations in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

While one would expect that in many special cases the limit measures so obtained coincide with the free scalar field measure, we believe that our construction is sufficiently general to possibly contain non-Gaussian examples as well, including in four space-time dimensions. We also conjecture that feeding the scaling limit construction with the densities corresponding to the $P(\phi)_2$ model on $\mathbb{S}^2$ (cf. [1]) produces the well-known $P(\phi)_2$ model on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly review for reader's convenience the results regarding measures on infinite dimensional spaces that will be needed in the sequel. Section 3 is dedicated to a general construction of limits of isometry invariant measures on distributions on a closed Riemannian manifold. Sequences of measures are produced from a given Gaussian reference measure and a continuous interaction function; the existence of a (weak) limit point is established via a simple Gaussian upper bound. The reflection positivity of these limit measures is established in Section 4.

In Section 5 the scaling limit passage from $\mathbb{S}^d$ to $\mathbb{R}^d$ from is performed. A sequence of measures on distributions on $\mathbb{S}^d$ is transferred to distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ employing the natural unitary equivalences induced by the scaling transformations on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and the stereographic projection. The existence of a (weak) limit point is shown via the same Gaussian upper bound as in Section 3 and the Glimm-Jaffe axioms are verified.

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful to Wojciech Dybalski, Leonard Gross, Nikolay M. Nikolov and Yoh Tanimoto for many helpful suggestions and stimulating discussions at various stages of this project.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we present some well-known results from measure theory on locally convex spaces; more detailed review may be found in [2, Section 7.13]. For background on topological vector spaces the reader is referred to [16].

2.1. **Characteristic functionals.** In this article by a measure on a topological space we shall always mean a *finite Radon measure*. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a real locally convex vector space and let $\mathcal{F}'$ be its dual space considered with the weak* topology. The *characteristic functional* (or Fourier transform) of a measure μ on $\mathcal{F}'$ is a functional on $\mathcal{F}$ defined by

$$S_\mu(f) = \int_{\mathcal{F}'} e^{i\phi(f)} d\mu(\phi), \quad f \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{2.1}$$

Recall that a sequence of measures μ_n on a topological space X *converges weakly* to a measure μ if

$$\int_X f d\mu_n \to \int_X f d\mu$$

for every bounded continuous function f on X. Clearly the weak convergence of sequence of measures implies the convergence of their characteristic functionals. It turns out that the converse is also true for finite dimensional spaces (Lévy's theorem) and also for a wide class of locally convex spaces if equicontinuity is assumed.

Given a smooth manifold M, we denote by $\mathcal{D}(M)$ the space of the real-valued smooth compactly supported functions on M equipped with the usual C^∞-topology, and by $\mathcal{D}'(M)$ its topological dual equipped with the weak* topology. Recall that $\mathcal{D}(M)$ is a barrelled nuclear locally convex space.

Theorem 2.1. *(cf.* [6, Chapter III, Corollary 2.6 and Example 2.3]*) A sequence of measures on $\mathcal{D}'(M)$ converges weakly if their characteristic functionals are equicontinuous at zero and converge pointwise.*

2.2. **Constructing measures from functionals.** The following result is known as the Bochner-Minlos theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *(see* [6, Chapter III, Theorem 1.3]*) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a nuclear locally convex space. For a functional on $\mathcal{F}$ to be the characteristic functional of a measure on $\mathcal{F}'$ it is sufficient, and necessary if $\mathcal{F}$ is barrelled, that it be positive definite and continuous at zero.*

We recall that a measure μ on a locally convex space $\mathcal{F}$ is called Gaussian if for every $\phi \in \mathcal{F}'$ the image measure $\phi^\bullet\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is Gaussian.

Now assume that the nuclear space $\mathcal{F}$ is continuously embedded into a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Then by Theorem 2.2 every nonnegative selfadjoint bounded operator C on $\mathcal{H}$ defines a mean zero Gaussian probability measure μ_C whose characteristic functional is given by

$$S_{\mu_C}(f) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\langle Cf,f\rangle}, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{2.2}$$

The operator C is called the covariance of the measure μ_C.

2.3. **Equicontinuity and analyticity.** The following generalization of the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem is a special case of [3, Chapter X, §2, No. 5, Corollary 1].

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\{\Phi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an equicontinuous sequence of complex-valued functions on a topological space X and assume that $\Phi_n(x)$ is bounded for every $x \in X$. Then $\{\Phi_n\}$ contains a subsequence converging uniformly on compact subsets to a continuous function on X.*

Recall that a functional on a complex locally convex space is called (Fréchet) analytic if it is continuous and its restrictions to finite dimensional subspaces are analytic (see e.g. [9, Chapter 3])

Theorem 2.4. *(cf.* [9, Theorem 3.1.5(c)]*) A uniformly locally bounded sequence of analytic functionals on a complex locally convex space is equicontinuous.*

In what follows, we denote the complexification of a real vector space $\mathcal{F}$ by $\mathcal{F}_\mathbb{C}$. If μ is a measure on the dual of a real locally convex space $\mathcal{F}$ we denote the extension of its characteristic functional to $\mathcal{F}_\mathbb{C}$ by $S^\mathbb{C}_\mu$. This extension (which may or may not exist) is defined by setting

$$\phi(f_1 + if_2) = \phi(f_1) + i\phi(f_2), \quad f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F} \tag{2.3}$$

in the integral in (2.1).

Given a Riemannian manifold M, we denote by $L^2(M)$ the Hilbert space of real-valued functions on M which are square integrable with respect to the measure induced by the metric. If μ_C is a Gaussian measure on $\mathcal{D}'(M)$ with covariance operator C, the extension $S^\mathbb{C}_{\mu_C}$ is analytic and moreover one has

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}'(M)} e^{\phi(f)} d\mu_C(\phi) \leq e^{K_C\|f\|^2_{L^2}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(M), \tag{2.4}$$

where K_C is a positive number depending only on the L^2-norm of C.

3. Finite volume measures

3.1. **Isometry invariant mollifiers.** Let M be a closed connected d - dimensional Riemannian manifold with group of isometries G. We observe that G acts naturally on $\mathcal{D}(M)$ and hence on $\mathcal{D}'(M)$. Further, there is a natural unitary representation of G on $L^2(M)$.

Given a neighborhood U of the diagonal in $M \times M$, we denote by $d(U)$ the largest distance between a point in U and the diagonal.

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a sequence of smoothing continuous operators $A_k : \mathcal{D}'(M) \to \mathcal{D}(M)$ satisfying:*

(1) The restriction of A_k to $L^2(M)$ is a trace class operator and one has

$$TrA_k = \int_M A_k(x,x)dx,$$

where $A_k(x,y)$ stands for the smooth integral kernel of A_k;

(2) The operators A_k are uniformly bounded on $L^2(M)$;

(3) $A_k \to 1$ strongly on $L^2(M)$ as $k \to \infty$;

(4) $d(supp(A_k(x,y))) \to 0$;

(5) A_k commutes with the action of G on $L^2(M)$.

Proof. The existence of mollifiers B_k satisfying (1)-(4) is a standard result (see e.g. [16, Chapter II.7]). To obtain isometry invariant mollifiers, we average over G as follows. We set

$$A_k(x,y) = \int_G B_k(g^{-1}x, g^{-1}y)dg,$$

where dg denotes the normalized Haar measure on the compact group G. Then an application of Fubini's theorem shows that the operators A_k with kernels $A_k(x,y)$ satisfy (1) and (2). Similarly, (3) holds by Lebesque's dominated convergence theorem. Finally, (4) is true since G is a group of isometries. □

3.2. **Isometry invariant measures.** Given a measure μ on $\mathcal{D}'(M)$, we denote by $L^p(\mu)$ the space of the complex-valued L^p functions with respect to μ. Let μ_C be a centered Gaussian probability measure on $\mathcal{D}'(M)$ with covariance operator C and let $\rho_k \in L^1(\mu_C)$ be a sequence of nonnegative functions. We define a sequence of probability measures μ_k on $\mathcal{D}'(M)$ by setting

$$\mu_k = N_k \rho_k \mu_C, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$N_k^{-1} = \int_{\mathcal{D}'(M)} \rho_k d\mu_C.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that the densities ρ_k satisfy*

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}'(M)} \rho_k d\mu_C \geq K_1, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}'(M)} \rho_k^2 d\mu_C \leq K_2 \tag{3.3}$$

for some positive constants K_1 and K_2.

(a) Then the sequence S_{μ_k} of the characteristic functionals of μ_k is equicontinuous.

(b) There exists a subsequence of S_{μ_k} converging to the characteristic functional S_{μ_0} of a probability measure μ_0 on $\mathcal{D}'(M)$.

(c) There exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\|\Psi\|_{L^1(\mu_0)} \leq K \|\Psi\|_{L^2(\mu_C)} \tag{3.4}$$

for every bounded continuous Ψ.

Proof. (a) It follows from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality that

$$N_k \|\Psi \rho_k\|_{L^1(\mu_C)} \leq N_k \|\Psi\|_{L^2(\mu_C)} \|\rho_k\|_{L^2(\mu_C)}$$

for every bounded continuous function Ψ on $\mathcal{D}'(M)$. Hence, using the estimates (3.2) and (3.3), one obtains

$$\|\Psi\|_{L^1(\mu_k)} \leq K_1^{-1} K_2 \|\Psi\|_{L^2(\mu_C)}. \tag{3.5}$$

Now a short computation employing (2.2) shows that

$$\int \left| e^{i\phi(f)} - e^{i\phi(g)} \right|^2 d\mu_C(\phi) = 2(1 - e^{-\|C^{1/2}(f-g)\|_{L^2}^2/2}), \tag{3.6}$$

where $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ and $\phi(f), \phi(g)$ are the corresponding functionals on $\mathcal{D}'(M)$. Combining (3.5) and (3.6), one finds that

$$|S_{\mu_k}(f) - S_{\mu_k}(g)| \leq \text{const} \cdot (1 - e^{-\|C^{1/2}(f-g)\|_{L^2}^2/2})$$

from which the equicontinuity of S_{μ_k} follows since convergence in $\mathcal{D}(M)$ implies convergence in $L^2(M)$.

(b) The existence of a converging subsequence of S_{μ_k} follows from part (a) and Theorem 2.3. The limit of such a subsequence is the characteristic functional of a probability measure μ_0 by Theorem 2.2.

(c) Since by Theorem 2.1 μ_k converges weakly to μ_0, taking the limit $k \to \infty$ in (3.5), one finds that (3.4) holds for all bounded continuous functions on $\mathcal{D}'(M)$. □

We now fix a subgroup G_0 of the isometry group G and a bounded nonnegative selfadjoint operator C on $L^2(M)$ that commutes with the action of G_0 on $L^2(M)$. For example, one can take $C = (m^2 + \triangle)^{-1}$, where $\triangle$ stands for the unique selfadjoint extension of the Laplacian on $L^2(M)$ and $m > 0$ (we use the sign convention in which $\triangle$ is a nonnegative operator.) By Theorem 2.2 the operator C is the covariance of a unique mean zero Gaussian probability measure μ_C on $\mathcal{D}'(M)$ whose characteristic functional is given by (2.2).

Example 3.3. (Bounded interaction) We fix a bounded continuous function $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and, using the mollifiers A_k from Lemma 3.1, define a sequence of densities

$$\rho_{F,k} = \exp\Big(\int_M F(A_k\phi)(x)dx\Big), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}'(M), \tag{3.7}$$

and denote the corresponding probability measures given by (3.1) by $\mu_{F,k}$.

Using the boundedness of F, Proposition 3.2(b) and Theorem 2.1 imply that the sequence $\mu_{F,k}$ contains a weakly convergent subsequence. We fix such a subsequence and denote its limit by μ_F. Observe that the measures $\mu_{F,k}$ and hence μ_F are G_0-invariant since so are the densities in (3.7) and μ_C.

Example 3.4. (Regularized unbounded interaction) Let C be as above and let $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a possibly unbounded continuous function. We set $V_k = \{x : |F(x)| \leq k\}$ and define bounded continuous functions

$$F_k(x) = \begin{cases} F(x), & x \in V_k \\ k, & x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus V_k \end{cases}$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We define a sequence of densities

$$\widetilde{\rho}_{F,k} = \exp\Big(-\lambda_k \int_M F_k(A_k\phi)(x)dx\Big), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}'(M), \tag{3.8}$$

where λ_k is a sequence of positive numbers chosen so that the assumptions of Proposition 3.2 are satisfied. For example, one can take

$$\lambda_k = 1/(\sup\{|F(x)| : x \in V_k\}), \tag{3.9}$$

obtaining uniformly bounded densities. We consider the corresponding sequence of probability measures given by (3.1) and, as above, obtain a G_0-invariant limit measure that we shall denote by $\widetilde{\mu}_F$.

Remark 3.5. The sequence λ_k in the example above may be interpreted as a sequence of renormalized coupling constants, while the convergence of λ_k to 0 may be interpreted as an asymptotic freedom property of the theory.

Example 3.6. ($P(\phi)_2$ models) Let C be as above and set $C_k = A_k^* C A_k$. We define the n-th Wick power of $f \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ with respect to C_k by setting (cf. [7, Eq. (8.5.5)])

$$:f(x)^n:_{C_k} = \sum_{j=0}^{[n/2]} \frac{(-1)^j n!}{(n-2j)!j!2^j} C_k(x,x)^j f(x)^{n-2j},$$

where $C_k(x,y)$ is the integral kernel of the smoothing operator C_k and $[\,\cdot\,]$ denotes the integer part of a number.

More generally, for every bounded from below polynomial P, one can consider the corresponding polynomial in the Wick powers which we denote

by $:P(f):_{C_k}$. Further, we define a sequence of functionals via

$$:P(\phi):_{C_k}=\int_M :P(A_k\phi):_{C_k}, \quad \phi\in\mathcal{D}'(M)$$

and probability measures on $\mathcal{D}'(M)$ via

$$\mu_{P,k}=N_k e^{-:P(\phi):_{C_k}}d\mu_C(\phi),$$

where N_k are normalization constants.

Now assume that M is the standard two-dimensional sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ and take $C=(m^2+\triangle)^{-1}$. Then proceeding exactly as in [7, Chapter 8], one can prove that that $:P(\phi):_{C_k}$ converge in $L^2(\mu_C)$ to a limit $:P(\phi):_C$ as $n\to\infty$ and that $e^{-:P(\phi):_C}$ belongs to $L^1(\mu_C)$ (see also [1, Section 11.1]). Using this, one can show as in the proof of Eq. (0.8) in [5] that

$$e^{-:P(\phi):_{C_k}}\to e^{-:P(\phi):_C}$$

in $L^p(\mu_C)$ for $1\leq p<\infty$. It follows that the measures $\mu_{P,k}$ satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 3.2, hence there exists a subsequence of $\mu_{P,k}$ converging weakly to a measure μ_P.

4. Reflection positivity

In this section the Riemannian manifold M is not assumed to be compact unless otherwise specified. Suppose that we are given a pair M_+, M_- of disjoint open submanifolds of M and an isometry Θ mapping diffeomorphically $M_\pm$ onto $M_\mp$. We denote the induced unitary operator on $L^2(M)$ by Θ as well and for every open $U\subset M$ consider the following algebra of functionals on $\mathcal{D}'(M)$:

$$\mathcal{A}_U=\Big\{\Psi:\Psi(\phi)=\sum_i z_i e^{i\phi(f_i)},\ f_i\in\mathcal{D}(U),\ z_i\in\mathbb{C}\Big\} \tag{4.1}$$

Definition 4.1. (a) We say that a bounded positive operator A on $L^2(M)$ is *reflection positive* with respect to $(M_\pm,\Theta)$ if $A\Theta=\Theta A$ and $\langle Af,\Theta f\rangle\geq 0$ for every $f\in L^2(M)$ that is supported in M_+.

(b) A functional S on $\mathcal{D}(M)$ is *reflection positive* with respect to $(M_\pm,\Theta)$ if the matrix $S_{ij}=S(f_i-\Theta f_j)$ is positive semi-definite for every finite sequence $f_1,\dots,f_k\in\mathcal{D}(M)$ supported in M_+.

(c) A probability measure μ on $\mathcal{D}'(M)$ is *reflection positive* with respect to $(M_\pm,\Theta)$ if

$$\langle\Psi,\Theta\Psi\rangle_{L^2(\mu)}\geq 0 \tag{4.2}$$

for all $\Psi\in\mathcal{A}_{M_+}$ (We denote the operator on $L^2(\mu)$ induced by Θ again by Θ.)

Lemma 4.2. *(a) If an operator A on $L^2(M)$ is reflection positive, the functional $S(f)=e^{-\langle Af,f\rangle}$ on $\mathcal{D}(M)$ is reflection positive as well.*

(b) A probability measure μ on $\mathcal{D}'(M)$ is reflection positive if and only if its characteristic functional S_μ is reflection positive.

Proof. (a) See [7, Theorem 6.2.2].

(b) Suppose first that μ is reflection positive. We fix $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{D}(M_+)$ and observe that

$$S_{ij} = S_\mu(f_i - \Theta f_j) = \int_{\mathcal{D}'(M)} e^{\phi(f_i - \Theta f_j)} d\mu(\phi).$$

Hence for every sequence $z_1, \dots, z_k \in \mathbb{C}$ one has

$$\sum_{i,j} z_i S_{ij} \bar{z}_j = \int_{\mathcal{D}'(M)} (\sum_i z_i e^{i\phi(f_i)}) \overline{(\Theta \sum_i z_i e^{i\phi(f_i)})} d\mu(\phi) \geq 0 \tag{4.3}$$

which implies that S_μ reflection positive.

Conversely, suppose that S_μ is reflection positive. Then Eq. (4.3) implies that μ is reflection positive. □

Remark 4.3. Denote by $r : \mathcal{D}'(M) \to \mathcal{D}'(M_+)$ the natural restriction map. Given a probability measure μ on $\mathcal{D}'(M)$, we define $\mathcal{R}_\mu(M_+)$ to be the space consisting of those functionals $\Psi \in L^2(\mu)$ for which there exists a functional $\overline{\Psi} \in L^2(\mathcal{D}'(M_+), r^\bullet \mu)$ such that $\Psi = \overline{\Psi} r$ (here $r^\bullet \mu$ the denotes the image of μ under r.)

Then a probability measure μ on $\mathcal{D}'(M)$ is reflection positive with respect to $(M_\pm, \Theta)$ if and only if (4.2) holds for every $\Psi \in \mathcal{R}_\mu(M_+)$. This follows from the fact that $\mathcal{A}_{M_+}$ is dense in $L^2(\mathcal{D}'(M_+), r^\bullet \mu)$ (see e.g. [2, Corollary 7.12.2]).

We now impose additional restrictions on the data $(M_\pm, \Theta)$ as in [10]. We assume that $M = M_- \cup M_0 \cup M_+$ where M_0, M_- and M_+ are disjoint, M_0 is a closed submanifold of codimension 1 and $M_\pm$ are open submanifolds. Further, we suppose that Θ fixes the points in M_0 and induces hyperplane reflections on the tangent spaces at points in M_0.

Consider a sequence of densities ρ_k as in Section 3.2 and probability measures μ_k defined via (3.1). Assume that ρ_k have the form

$$\rho_k(\phi) = \exp\Big(\int_M \eta_k(\phi)(x) dx\Big), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}'(M), \tag{4.4}$$

with

$$\eta_k(\phi) = \sum_i g_{k,i} F_{k,i}(A_k \phi),$$

where $g_{k,i}$ and $F_{k,i}$ are continuous real-valued functions on M and $\mathbb{R}$ respectively, $F_{k,i}(0) = 0$, and A_k are given by Lemma 3.1.

Proposition 4.4. *Assume that the covariance operator C used in the construction of the measures μ_k is reflection positive with respect to $(M_\pm, \Theta)$ and that the characteristic functionals of μ_k converge pointwise to the characteristic functional of a measure μ_0. Then μ_0 is reflection positive with respect to $(M_\pm, \Theta)$.*

Proof. For every $\tau > 0$ we denote by $M_\pm^\tau$ the set of all points in $M_\pm$ whose distance from M_0 is greater than τ. We shall show that for every $\tau > 0$ there exists sufficiently large k such that the measure μ_k is reflection positive with respect to $(M_\pm^\tau, \Theta)$.

For every open $U \subset M$ we denote by $\Psi_k(U)$ the functional given by

$$\mathcal{D}'(M) \ni \phi \mapsto \exp\Big(\int_U \eta_k(\phi)(x)dx\Big). \tag{4.5}$$

Given $\tau > 0$, by Lemma 3.1(4) one can find N such that $A_k\phi$ is supported in M_+ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}'(M)$ supported in M_+^τ and all $k > N$. It follows that the functionals $\Psi_k(M_+)$ belong to $\mathcal{R}_\mu(M_+^\tau)$ defined in Remark 4.3. Indeed, one can define continuous functionals on compactly supported distributions in M_+^τ via (4.5) and extend them by continuity to functionals $\overline{\Psi}_k$ on $\mathcal{D}'(M_+^\tau)$ that satisfy $\Psi_k(M_+) = \overline{\Psi}_k r$.

Next we note that

$$\Psi_k(M) = \Psi_k(M_+)\Psi_k(M_-) = \Psi_k(M_+)\Theta\Psi_k(M_+),$$

which, using Remark 4.3 and the fact that μ_C is reflection positive by Lemma 4.2 (a), easily implies that μ_k are reflection positive with respect to $(M_\pm^\tau, \Theta)$ for all $k > N$.

By Lemma 4.2 (b) the characteristic functionals S_{μ_k} are reflection positive with respect to $(M_\pm^\tau, \Theta)$ for all $k > N$, i.e. the matrices with entries

$$S_{ij}^k = S_{\mu_k}(f_i - \Theta f_j)$$

are positive semi-definite for every finite sequence $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{D}(M_+^\tau)$. Now given a sequence $f_1, \dots, f_k$ supported in M_+, one can find $\tau > 0$ sufficiently small so that $f_1, \dots, f_k$ are supported in M_+^τ and it follows that μ_0 is reflection positive. □

Example 4.5. The sequences of densities defined in Examples 3.3, 3.4, assuming $F(0) = 0$, and in 3.6 are all of the form (4.4), hence the corresponding limit measures are reflective positive provided that C is reflection positive. A natural choice for C is $(m^2 + \triangle)^{-1}$, the covariance of the free Euclidean scalar quantum field on M with mass m. This operator is reflection positive by [10, Theorem 1].

5. The infinite volume limit

5.1. **Conformal maps, Laplacians, and the stereographic projection.** Let (M_1, g_1) and (M_2, g_2) be a pair of d-dimensional Riemannian manifolds and let $\eta : M_1 \to M_2$ be a conformal diffeomorphism with conformal factor $\Lambda_\eta \in C^\infty(M_1)$, i.e. $\eta^* g_2 = \Lambda_\eta^2 g_1$ (Here and below upper $*$ stands for the pull-back map acting on functions and tensors.) Then the operator U_η given by

$$U_\eta f = \Lambda_\eta^{d/2} \eta^* f, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(M_2) \tag{5.1}$$

extends to a unitary operator from $L^2(M_2)$ to $L^2(M_1)$ such that $U_\eta^{-1} = U_{\eta^{-1}}$.

We denote by $\mathbb{S}^d$ the d-dimensional unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ centered at the origin and set $\mathbb{S}^d_p = \mathbb{S}^d \backslash \{(0,0,\dots,0,1)\}$. We regard $\mathbb{S}^d$ and $\mathbb{S}^d_p$ as Riemannian manifolds with respect to the metric induced by the standard metric on $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$.

Let $\alpha : \mathbb{S}^d_p \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be the stereographic projection map with its i-th component given by

$$\alpha_i(x) = \frac{x_i}{1-x_d}, \quad i = 0,\dots,d-1, \tag{5.2}$$

where $x = (x_0,\dots,x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. We note that α is conformal with $\Lambda_\alpha = (1-x_d)^{-1}$ and $\Lambda_{\alpha^{-1}} = 2(1+\|y\|)^{-1}$, where $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Another example of a conformal map is provided by the scaling map

$$\beta_k y = ky$$

on $\mathbb{R}^d$ defined for every $k > 0$; clearly one has $\Lambda_{\beta_k} = k$.

A second order differential operator D on a Riemannian manifold on M is said to be conformally covariant if for every conformal $\eta : M \to M$ one has

$$D = U_\eta^{-1} \Lambda_\eta^{-1} D \Lambda_\eta^{-1} U_\eta,$$

where the powers of Λ_η act by multiplication. It is well-known that the Laplacian $\triangle_E$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is conformally covariant. Another example of a conformally covariant operator is the conformal Laplacian (also known as the Yamabe operator) on the sphere defined by $\triangle^c_S = \triangle_S + d(d-2)/4$, where $\triangle_S$ is the Laplacian on $\mathbb{S}^d$. The conformal covariance of $\triangle^S_c$ implies (see e.g. [8])

$$\triangle_E = U_\alpha^{-1} \Lambda_\alpha^{-1} \triangle^c_S \Lambda_\alpha^{-1} U_\alpha. \tag{5.3}$$

5.2. **The scaling limit.** We define a sequence of covariance operators on $L^2(\mathbb{S}^d_p)$ via

$$C_{S,k} = U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} (\triangle_E + m^2)^{-1} U_{\beta_k}^{-1} U_\alpha^{-1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{5.4}$$

The conformal covariance of $\triangle_E$ implies

$$U_{\beta_k} (\triangle_E + m^2)^{-1} U_{\beta_k}^{-1} = k^2 (\triangle_E + k^2 m^2)^{-1}. \tag{5.5}$$

Using (5.3) one finds

$$\triangle_E + k^2 m^2 = U_\alpha^{-1} \Lambda_\alpha^{-1} (\triangle^c_S + k^2 m^2 \Lambda_\alpha^2) \Lambda_\alpha^{-1} U_\alpha$$

which together with (5.5) easily implies

$$C_{S,k} = k^2 \Lambda_\alpha (\triangle^c_S + k^2 m^2 \Lambda_\alpha^2)^{-1} \Lambda_\alpha. \tag{5.6}$$

Let $J : L^2(\mathbb{S}^d_p) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{S}^d)$ be the isometric embedding induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^d_p) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^d)$. We set $\tilde{C}_{S,k} = J C_{S,k} J^*$.

Let μ^S_k be a sequence of probability measures on $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{S}^d)$ such that

$$\|\Psi\|_{L^1(\mu^S_k)} \le K \|\Psi\|_{L^2(\mu_{\tilde{C}_{S,k}})} \tag{5.7}$$

for some constant $K > 0$, every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and every bounded continuous functional Ψ on $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{S}^d)$. Given such a sequence, we shall construct a probability measure on $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ via a scaling limit procedure analogous to that described in [12, Section 3].

We introduce a sequence of continuous linear functionals on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ via

$$S^k_{\mu^S}(f) = S_{\mu^S_k}(U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} f), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d), \tag{5.8}$$

where $S_{\mu^S_k}$ is the characteristic functional of the measure μ^S_k.

Proposition 5.1. *The sequence $\{S^k_{\mu^S}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous.*

Proof. Using (5.7) and (3.6) one finds that

$$|S^k_{\mu^S}(f) - S^k_{\mu^S}(g)| \leq K_1\Big(1 - \exp\big(-\frac{1}{2}\|\tilde{C}^{1/2}_{S,k} U_\alpha U_{\beta_k}(f-g)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^d)}\big)\Big) \tag{5.9}$$

for all $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and some constant $K_1 > 0$. It follows from (5.6) that

$$K_2 \leq \|\tilde{C}^{1/2}_{S,k}\| \leq K_3 \tag{5.10}$$

for some positive constants K_2 and K_3, hence one has

$$\|\tilde{C}^{1/2}_{S,k} U_\alpha U_{\beta_k}(f-g)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^d)} \leq K_3 \|f-g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{5.11}$$

Combining (5.11) and (5.9) one obtains

$$|S^k_{\mu^S}(f) - S^k_{\mu^S}(g)| \leq K_1\Big(1 - \exp\big(-\frac{1}{2}K_2^2\|(f-g)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}\big)\Big)$$

which implies the desired equicontinuity. □

We can now pick a subsequence of $\{S^k_{\mu^S}\}$ (which we shall again denote by $\{S^k_{\mu^S}\}$, suppressing subscripts in our notation) converging to a continuous functional S_{μ^E} as in Proposition 3.2(b). By Theorem 2.2 S_{μ^E} is the characteristic functional of a probability measure on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ that we denote by μ^E.

Remark 5.2. Using (5.4) and the unitarity of U_α and U_{β_k}, one finds that

$$\|C^{1/2}_{S,k} U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^d_p)} = \|(\triangle_E + m^2)^{-1/2} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \tag{5.12}$$

for every k and every $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Hence the scaling limit μ^E in the case of a free scalar field coincides, as desired, with the Gaussian measure with covariance $(\triangle_E + m^2)^{-1}$. This observation motivates the definition of the covariances $C_{S,k}$. One expects that if the scaling limit is taken using $(\triangle_S + m^2)^{-1}$ instead of $C_{S,k}$ it would be a massless theory, in agreement with the results of [4].

5.3. **Euclidean invariance.** We identify the group of isometries of $\mathbb{S}^d$ with $O(d+1)$ and the subgroup of isometries preserving the point $(0,0,\dots,0,1)$ with $O(d)$, thus obtaining a natural action of $O(d)$ on $\mathbb{S}^d$. We now assume that the measures μ_k^S employed in the last subsection are of the form

$$\mu_k^S = N_k^S \rho_k^S \mu_{\tilde{C}_{S,k}}, \tag{5.13}$$

where N_k^S are normalization constants and the densities ρ_k^S are $O(d+1)$-invariant and satisfy the following generalization of the assumptions of Proposition 3.2:

$$(N_k^S)^{-1} = \int_{\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{S}^d)} \rho_k^S d\mu_{\tilde{C}_{S,k}} \geq K_1, \tag{5.14}$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{S}^d)} (\rho_k^S)^2 d\mu_{\tilde{C}_{S,k}} \leq K_2 \tag{5.15}$$

for some positive constants K_1 and K_2. One sees as in the proof of Proposition 3.2 that μ_k^S do obey the estimate (5.7).

Let $\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ be the standard orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. For $i = 0, \dots, d-1$ we denote by L_i the generator of the one-parameter group of rotations in the plane spanned by e_i and e_d, considered as a element of the Lie algebra of $O(d+1)$. For every translation $T = (t_0, \dots, t_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we set

$$g_k(T) = \exp\bigl(2k^{-1} \sum_j t_j L_j\bigr) \in O(d+1).$$

Further, we define

$$T_E(x) = x + T, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$
$$T_{S,k}(y) = g_k(T) \cdot y, \quad y \in \mathbb{S}^d.$$

For the following lemma, recall that $*$ denotes the induced map on functions.

Lemma 5.3. *For every $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ one has*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|T_{S,k}^* U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} f - U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} T_E^* f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^d)} = 0 \tag{5.16}$$

and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} (S_{\mu_k^S}(T_{S,k}^* U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} f) - S_{\mu_k^S}(U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} T_E^* f)) = 0. \tag{5.17}$$

Proof. Using the unitarity of U_α and U_{β_k}, we see that that (5.16) is equivalent to

$$\|U_{\beta_k}^{-1} U_\alpha^{-1} T_{S,k}^* U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} f - T_E^* f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \to 0. \tag{5.18}$$

It is easy to check that

$$\|T_{S,k}^* \Lambda_\alpha \alpha^* U_{\beta_k} f - \Lambda_\alpha T_{S,k}^* \alpha^* U_{\beta_k} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^d)} \to 0,$$

which implies that to prove (5.18) it suffices to show that

$$\|U_{\beta_k}^{-1} (\alpha^*)^{-1} T_{S,k}^* \alpha^* U_{\beta_k} f - T_E^* f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \to 0. \tag{5.19}$$

We shall establish (5.19) by showing that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} k\, \alpha(g_k(T) \cdot \alpha^{-1}(k^{-1} x)) = x + T \tag{5.20}$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For notational simplicity we verify (5.20) below for $d = 1$ only; the proof in the general case is similar.

Recall that the i-th component of α^{-1} is given by

$$\alpha_i^{-1}(y) = \frac{2y_i}{\|y\|^2+1}, \quad i = 0, \dots, d-1, \quad \alpha_d^{-1}(y) = \frac{\|y\|^2-1}{\|y\|^2+1}, \tag{5.21}$$

where $y = (y_0, \dots, y_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. A straightforward computation utilizing (5.2) and (5.21) shows that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ one has

$$k\,\alpha(g_k(T)\cdot\alpha^{-1}(k^{-1}x)) = \frac{\frac{2x}{k^{-2}x^2+1}\cos\frac{2T}{k} - \frac{k^{-2}x^2-1}{k^{-2}x^2+1}\cdot k\sin\frac{2T}{k}}{1 - \frac{2k^{-1}x}{k^{-2}x^2+1}\sin\frac{2T}{k} - \frac{k^{-2}x^2-1}{k^{-2}x^2+1}\cos\frac{2T}{k}},$$

which easily implies (5.20). Since the integration in (5.19) is over a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$, an application of Lebesque's dominated convergence theorem shows that (5.19) follows from (5.20).

We now observe that (5.9) and (5.10) imply

$$\begin{aligned} &|S_{\mu_k^S}(T_{S,k}^* U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} f) - S_{\mu_k^S}(U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} T_E^* f)| \\ &\leq K_1\big(1 - \exp\big(-K_2\|T_{S,k}^* U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} f - U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} T_E^* f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^d)}\big)\big), \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants K_1 and K_2. Thus, using one (5.16), we conclude that (5.17) holds. □

Lemma 5.4. *One has*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}(S_{\mu_k^S}(T_{S,k}^* U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} f) - S_{\mu_k^S}(U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} f)) = 0 \tag{5.22}$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. Performing a change of variables, using the $O(d+1)$-invariance of ρ_k^S and (5.14), we find that it suffices to show that

$$\int e^{i\phi(U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} f)}\rho_k^S d\mu_{\tilde{C}_{S,k}}^T - \int e^{i\phi(U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} f)}\rho_k^S d\mu_{\tilde{C}_{S,k}} \to 0 \tag{5.23}$$

where $\mu_{\tilde{C}_{S,k}}^T$ is the image of $\mu_{\tilde{C}_{S,k}}$ under the map induced by $T_{S,k}^*$, i.e. $\mu_{\tilde{C}_{S,k}}^T$ is the centered Gaussian measure with covariance $T_{S,k}^* \tilde{C}_{S,k}(T_{S,k}^*)^{-1}$.

For every k we choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_{j,k}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of $L^2(\mu_{\tilde{C}_{S,k}})$ which is given by finite linear combinations of the form

$$e_{j,k} = \sum_{i,l} c_{i,l,k}\phi^l(f_i), \tag{5.24}$$

where i and l depend on j but not on k, $c_{i,l,k}$ are complex numbers and $f_i \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^d)$. Further, we denote by $\{e_{j,k}^T\}_{j=1}^\infty$ the orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mu_{\tilde{C}_{S,k}}^T)$ induced by $T_{S,k}^*$, i.e.

$$e_{j,k}^T = \sum_{i,l} c_{i,l,k}\phi^l((T_{S,k}^*)^{-1} f_i).$$

Given $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we set

$$a_{j,k}(f) = \int e^{i\phi(U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} f)} e_{j,k} d\mu_{\tilde{C}_{S,k}},$$

$$a^T_{j,k}(f) = \int e^{i\phi(U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} f)} e^T_{j,k} d\mu^T_{\tilde{C}_{S,k}},$$

$$b_{j,k} = \langle \rho^S_k, e_{j,k} \rangle_{L^2(\mu_{\tilde{C}_{S,k}})} = \langle \rho^S_k, e^T_{j,k} \rangle_{L^2(\mu^T_{\tilde{C}_{S,k}})}$$

and note that in order to prove (5.23) it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{j,k}(a^T_{j,k}(f) - a_{j,k}(f)) = 0. \tag{5.25}$$

Next observe that by (5.6) one has

$$\|T^*_{S,k} \tilde{C}_{S,k} (T^*_{S,k})^{-1} - \tilde{C}_{S,k}\| \to 0. \tag{5.26}$$

Further, (5.24) implies that $a_{j,k}(f)$ and $a^T_{j,k}(f)$ are given by sums of integrals of Gaussian type that can be computed explicitly (cf. [7, Theorem 6.3.1]. Using this, (5.26), the fact that $c_{i,l,k}$ are uniformly bounded in k (which follows from (5.10)) and that $e^T_{j,k} - e_{j,k} \to 0$ pointwise, we find that

$$a^T_{j,k}(f) - a_{j,k}(f) \to 0$$

as $k \to \infty$. By (5.15) $b_{j,k}$ are uniformly bounded in k, hence $b_{j,k}(a^T_{j,k}(f) - a_{j,k}(f)) \to 0$ as well. Finally, since the series in (5.25) converge uniformly in k, we may interchange the order of limit and summation, thus establishing (5.25). □

Proposition 5.5. *Every scaling limit measure μ^E of the sequence μ^S_k given by Proposition 5.1 is invariant under the natural action of the Euclidean group $E(d)$.*

Proof. Clearly the maps α and U_α are $O(d)$-equivariant (with respect to the action of $O(d)$ on $\mathbb{S}^d$ defined in the beginning of this subsection and the natural action of $O(d)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$.) It follows, using the $O(d+1)$-invariance of ρ^S_k, that S^k_μ and hence S_{μ^E} are $O(d)$-invariant.

To show that S_{μ^E} is translation invariant, it suffices to prove that given $T \in \mathbb{R}^d$, one has

$$S_{\mu^S_k}(U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} T^*_E f) - S_{\mu^S_k}(U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} f) \to 0.$$

But this follows directly from (5.22) and (5.17). □

5.4. **Verification of the Glimm-Jaffe axioms.** We write $(t, x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})$ for the coordinates of $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and given $\tau \geq 0$, we set

$$\mathbb{R}^{d,\tau}_+ = \Big\{ t, x_1, \dots, x_{d-1} \in \mathbb{R}^d | t > \tau \Big\}$$

$$\mathbb{R}^{d,\tau}_- = \Big\{ t, x_1, \dots, x_{d-1} \in \mathbb{R}^d | t < -\tau \Big\}.$$

Further, we set $\mathbb{R}^d_\pm = \mathbb{R}^{d,0}_\pm$ and write Θ for the reflection

$$(t, x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}) \mapsto (-t, x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}).$$

We further suppose that the operators A_k obtained in Lemma 3.1 satisfy

$$k \cdot d(\mathrm{supp}(A_k(x, y))) \to 0 \tag{5.27}$$

(This can always be achieved by scaling appropriately a given sequence of mollifiers.)

The following theorem asserts that under the natural assumptions already considered in Sections 4 and 5.3 the scaling limit measure satisfies the Glimm-Jaffe axioms OS0–3 from [7, Section 6.1] except the local integrability condition in the regularity axiom OS1.

Theorem 5.6. *Let μ^S_k be given by (5.13) with densities ρ^S_k that have the form (4.4) and obey the estimates (5.14) and (5.15). Then every scaling limit measure μ^E of the sequence μ^S_k obtained via Proposition 5.1 has the following properties.*

(1) μ^E is Euclidean invariant.

(2) μ^E is reflection positive with respect to $(\mathbb{R}^d_\pm, \Theta)$.

(3) The extension $S^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mu^E}$ of S_{μ^E} (cf. Section 2.3) is analytic and satisfies

$$|S^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mu^E}(f)| \leq K_1 e^{K_2 \|f\|^2_{L^2}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)_{\mathbb{C}}, \tag{5.28}$$

for some positive constants K_1 and K_2.

Proof. Part (1) follows from Proposition 5.5 since (4.4) implies that ρ^S_k are $O(d+1)$-invariant. To prove Part (2), we set $\mathbb{S}^d_\pm = \mathbb{S}^d \cap \mathbb{R}^{d+1}_\pm$ and define $\mathbb{S}^{d,\tau}_\pm$ as in the proof of Proposition 4.4. Since $U_\alpha U_{\beta_k}$ maps functions supported in $\mathbb{R}^d_\pm$ to functions supported in $\mathbb{S}^d_\pm$, the reflection positivity of $(\triangle_E + m^2)^{-1}$ with respect to $(\mathbb{R}^d_\pm, \Theta)$ implies the reflection positivity of $\tilde{C}_{S,k}$ with respect to $(\mathbb{S}^d_\pm, \Theta)$. Using this and (5.27), we see exactly as in the proof of Proposition 4.4 that there exists a sequence of positive τ_k such that $k\tau_k \to 0$ and μ^S_k is reflection positive with respect to $(\mathbb{S}^{d,\tau_k}_\pm, \Theta)$.

Now note that U_{β_k} maps functions supported in $\mathbb{R}^{d,\tau}_\pm$ to functions supported in $\mathbb{R}^{d,\tau/k}_\pm$. It follows that the functional $S^k_{\mu^S}$ defined in(5.8) is reflection positive with respect to $(\mathbb{R}^{d,ck\tau_k}_\pm, \Theta)$ for some positive constant c. Since $k\tau_k \to 0$, we conclude that S_{μ^E} is reflection positive with respect to $(\mathbb{R}^d_\pm, \Theta)$.

To establish Part (3) we find, using (5.14), (5.15) and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, that the extensions of $S^k_{\mu^S}$ to $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)_{\mathbb{C}}$ are analytic and satisfy

$$|S^{k,\mathbb{C}}_{\mu^S}(f)| \leq K_1 \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{S}^d)} \exp(\phi(U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} \mathrm{Im} f)) d\mu_{\tilde{C}_{S,k}}(\phi) \right)^{1/2}$$

for some constant $K_1 > 0$. Using this, (2.4) and (5.10), we conclude that

$$|S^{k,\mathbb{C}}_{\mu^S}(f)| \leq K_1 e^{K_2 \|f\|^2_{L^2}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)_{\mathbb{C}}, \tag{5.29}$$

for some positive constants K_1 and K_2. It follows that the sequence $S^{k,\mathbb{C}}_{\mu^S}$ is uniformly locally bounded and hence equicontinuous by Theorem 2.4. Now Theorem 2.3 implies that $S^{k,\mathbb{C}}_{\mu^S}$ contains a subsequence converging uniformly on compact subsets. Since the limit of this sequence is analytic, we conclude that S_{μ^E} has analytic extension that by virtue of (5.29) satisfies (5.28). □

Example 5.7. The sequences of densities on $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{S}^d)$ defined by (3.7) or by (3.8) assuming $F(0) = 0$ and with λ_k given by (3.9) are uniformly bounded away from zero and from above, hence the assumptions of Theorem 5.6 are satisfied.

Theorem 5.8. *The measure μ^E defines a relativistic quantum field satisfying all Wightman axioms except possibly the uniqueness of the vacuum axiom.*

Proof. We observe that Theorem 5.6(3) implies that the moments of all orders of μ^E exist and extend to continuous multilinear functionals on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \cdots \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (cf. [7, Propositions 6.1.4 and 19.1.1]). In what follows, we write

$$C_\mu(f, f) = \int \phi(f)^2 d\mu(\phi)$$

for the (extended) second moment of a measure μ.

It is proved in [7, Chapter 19] that the axioms OS0–3 imply the Wightman axioms except the uniqueness of the vacuum. An inspection of this proof reveals that the only place where the local integrability condition in the regularity axiom is used is Proposition 19.1.4 in [7]. However, the statement of this proposition holds if

$$C_{\mu^E}(f, f) = o(t) \text{ as } t \to 0, \tag{5.30}$$

where $f = \chi_{(0,t)} \otimes h$, with $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$, and $\chi_{(0,t)}$ is the indicator function of the interval $(0, t)$.

To verify (5.30), we note that by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, (5.14), (5.15) and (5.12) one has

$$C_{\mu^S_k}(U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} f, U_\alpha U_{\beta_k} f) \leq \text{const} \cdot \langle (\triangle_E + m^2)^{-1} f, f \rangle_{L^2}$$

for every k and every $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Since the covariance $(\triangle_E + m^2)^{-1}$ is known to satisfy (5.30), we conclude that (5.30) holds for C_{μ^E} as well. □

We remind the reader that measures satisfying the ergodicity axiom in addition to axioms OS0–3 (and hence relativistic quantum fields satisfying all Wightman axioms) can be obtained by an appropriate decomposition of the measure μ^E, as explained in [7, Section 19.7].

References

[1] Barata, J.C.A., Jäkel, C. D., Mund, J.: The $P(\phi)_2$ model on the de Sitter space, arXiv:1311.2905.

[2] Bogachev, V.I.: *Measure theory, vol. 2*, Springer, 2007.

[3] Bourbaki, N.: *General topology. Chapters 5-10*, Springer, Berlin, 1989.

[4] Buchholz, D.:, Verch, R.: Scaling algebras and renormalization group in algebraic quantum field theory, II. Instructive examples, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **10** (1998), 775–800.

[5] Cannon, J.T.: Continuous sample paths in quantum field theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **35** (1974), 215–233.

[6] Dalecky, Yu. L., Fomin, S.V.: *Measures and differential equations in infinite-dimensional space*, Kluwer, 1991.

[7] Glimm, J., Jaffe, A.: *Quantum physics: a functional integral point of view*, second ed., Springer, 1987.

[8] Graham, C. R.: Conformal powers of the Laplacian via stereographic projection, *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* **3** (2007), Paper 121, 4 pp.

[9] Hervé, M.: *Analyticity in infinite dimensional spaces*, Walter de Gruyter, 1989.

[10] Jaffe, A., Ritter, G.: Reflection positivity and monotonicity, *J. Math. Phys.* **49** (2008), 052301.

[11] Osterwalder, K., Schrader, R.: Axioms for Euclidean Green's functions, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **31** (1973), 83–112, and *Commun. Math. Phys.* **42** (1975), 281–305.

[12] Schlingemann, D.: Euclidean field theory on a sphere, arXiv:hep-th/9912235.

[13] Summers, S.: A perspective on constructive quantum field theory, arXiv:1203.3991.

[14] Streater, R., Wightman, A.: *PCT, Spin and Statistics, and All That*, W.A. Benjamin, 1964.

[15] Taylor, M.: *Pseudodifferential operators*, Princeton University Press, 1981.

[16] Treves, F.: *Topological vector spaces, distributions and kernels*, Academic Press, 1967.